UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,547	03/10/2004	Blayn W. Beenau	60655.5900	2546
	7590 10/12/2014 r L.L.P. (AMEX)	EXAMINER		
ONE ARIZONA	A CENTER	CHAMPAGNE, LUNA		
PHOENIX, AZ	UREN STREET 85004-2202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

HSOBELMAN@SWLAW.COM DMIER@SWLAW.COM JESLICK@SWLAW.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/708,547	BEENAU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	LUNA CHAMPAGNE	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		THO THE ET WAS TH	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of externing the period of the short in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli	ance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further constitution. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112	1. See attached Nation of Non Co.	maliant Amandment (DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		npliant Amendment (- 1 OL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Please see continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627	/Luna Champagne/		
especially rational examinary rate of the oost	Examiner, Art Unit 3627		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed to place the application in better form for allowance. Applicant argues that Atalla does not state that the random number was used to look up KEY1. The Examiner's disagrees. Please see column 3, lines 7-18-(In response to PIN data entered by a user and in response to a random number (RN) produced by random number generator 13, algorithm module 15 produces a user identifier code (ID) which may be transmitted and applied to comparator 21 at the receiving location. A predetermined authentic PIN (prestored in a selected register of storage file 17) may be accessed and applied to algorithm module 19 along with the received RN to produce a new user identifier code (ID')).

See also column 4/25-32 -(The encoded data, together with the associated random number (RN) is then transmitted from user station 53 to processing station 55. The random number (RN) thus received by station 55 and the prestored authentic PIN which is accessed from file 47 are applied to algorithm module 49 which produces an encryption key (KEY') that should be identical to the KEY, and this KEY' is applied to decoding module 51.

Therefofe, the Examiner believes that Atalla ancticipates the limitation as writtten. The rejectio is Final.